## ORIGINAL

kbeauchamp@csblaw.com

0000138

L	Keith Beauchamp (012434) COPPERSMITH SCHERMER & B	PECELVEN
- 1	COPPERSMITH SCHERMER & B	ROCKELMAN PEC U
2	II ZXUU North Central Avenue Suite 1200	
3	Dhooniy Arizona 85004	2012 AUG 21 P 4: 30
	(602) 381-5490 (Direct)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ı	(602) 381-5490 (Direct) (602) 772-3738 (Direct Fax)	AZ SORP COMMISSION
	kbeauchamn@cshlaw.com	ロロンがによっていてうついい

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

AUG 2 7 2012

DOCKETERRY

Attorneys for Crystal Pistol Resources, LLC, Crystal Pistol Management, LLC, Liberty Bell Resources I, LLC and John McNeil

7

8

5

6

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

DOCKET CONTROL

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

In the matter of: CRYSTAL PISTOL RESOURCES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, CRYSTAL PISTOL MANAGEMENT, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, LIBERTY BELL RESOURCES I, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, PETER POCKLINGTON, a married man, and JOHN M. McNEIL, an unmarried man,

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. S-20845A-12-0134

ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING OF RESPONDENTS CRYSTAL PISTOL RESOURCES, LLC, CRYSTAL PISTOL MANAGEMENT, LLC, LIBERTY BELL RESOURCES I, LLC AND JOHN MCNEIL

For their Answer to the Arizona Corporation Commission's Notice of Opportunity for Hearing Regarding Proposed Order to Cease and Desist, Order for Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties and Order for Other Affirmative Action (the "Notice"), Respondents Crystal Pistol Resources, LLC, Crystal Pistol Management, LLC, Liberty Bell Resources I, LLC and John McNeil deny all allegations of the Notice unless expressly admitted herein, and further admit, deny, and allege as follows:

Respondents deny the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the Notice. 1.

Respondents admit the allegations contained in paragraph 15.

Respondents admit the allegations contained in paragraph 16.

15.

16.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

21

27

- 17. The materials cited in paragraph 17 speak for themselves, and Respondents therefore deny the allegations contained in paragraph 17.
- 18. The materials cited in paragraph 18 speak for themselves, and Respondents therefore deny the allegations contained in paragraph 18.
  - 19. Respondents deny the allegations contained in paragraph 19.
- 20. Respondents admit the first sentence and deny the second sentence of the allegations contained in paragraph 20.
  - 21. Respondents deny the allegations contained in paragraph 21.
- 22. Respondents admit the allegation in paragraph 22 to the extent it alleges that certain investors and potential investors elected to visit the mine site.
- 23. The materials cited in paragraph 23 speak for themselves, and Respondents therefore deny the allegations contained in paragraph 23.
  - 24. Respondents deny the allegations contained in paragraph 24.
- 25. The PPM cited in paragraph 25 speaks for itself, and Respondents therefore deny the allegations contained in paragraph 25.
- 26. Respondents deny the allegations contained in the first, second and fourth sentences in paragraph 26.
- 27. Respondents lack information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 27, and note that the CPR 43-101 Reports speak for themselves, and therefore deny the allegations in paragraph 27.
  - 28. Respondents deny the allegations contained in paragraph 28.
  - 29. Respondents deny the allegations contained in paragraph 29.
  - 30. Respondents deny the allegations contained in paragraph 30.
  - 31. Respondents deny the allegations contained in paragraph 31.
- 32. Respondents admit the allegations contained in paragraph 32 and note that the purpose of Liberty Bell, and additional information relating to these allegations, are set forth in the Liberty Bell Private Placement Memo ("LB PPM"), which speaks for itself.

- 33. Respondents admit the allegations contained in paragraph 33 and note that the purpose of Liberty Bell, and additional information relating to these allegations, are set forth in the LB PPM, which speaks for itself.
- 34. Respondents deny the allegations contained in paragraph 34 and note that the purpose of Liberty Bell, and additional information relating to these allegations, are set forth in the LB PPM, which speaks for itself.
- 35. Respondents admit the allegations contained in paragraph 35 and note that the purpose of Liberty Bell, and additional information relating to these allegations, are set forth in the LB PPM, which speaks for itself.
- 36. Respondents admit the allegations contained in paragraph 36 and note that the purpose of Liberty Bell, and additional information relating to these allegations, are set forth in the LB PPM, which speaks for itself.
- 37. The Form D materials cited in these allegations speak for themselves, and Respondents therefore deny the allegations contained in paragraph 37 and refer to the language of the Form D's cited.
  - 38. Respondents admit the allegations contained in paragraph 38.
  - 39. Respondents admit the allegations contained in paragraph 39.
  - 40. Respondents deny the allegations contained in paragraph 40.
- 41. Respondents admit the allegations contained in paragraph 41 and note that additional information relating to these allegations are set forth in the LB PPM Supplement, which speaks for itself.
- 42. Respondents deny the allegations contained in paragraph 42 and note that additional information relating to these allegations are set forth in the LB PPM and Supplemental LB PPM, which speak for themselves.
- 43. Respondents admit the first and second sentences of paragraph 43 and deny the third sentence of paragraph 43.
  - 44. Respondents deny the allegations contained in paragraph 44.
  - 45. Respondents deny the allegations contained in paragraph 45.

The alleged investors could not have reasonably relied on any alleged oral

11.

statement or action by Respondents.

27

- 12. Respondents did not engage in any transaction, practice or course of conduct that operated as a fraud upon the alleged investors.
- 13. Any alleged injury to the alleged investors was caused, at least in part, by the actions of the Division or other third parties.
  - 14. Restitution is not an appropriate remedy.
- 15. If restitution or rescission were ordered, the ACC should, in its discretion, reduce the amount, if any, Respondents are ordered to pay.
  - 16. Respondents did not violate A.R.S. §§ 44-1841, -1842, or -1991.
- 17. Any alleged oral misrepresentations were made without the authority of Respondents.
- 18. This proceeding before the ACC denies Respondents essential due process and is lacking in fundamental fairness. Respondents' constitutional rights will be further denied if they are not afforded a trial by jury in this matter.
- 19. The Division must comply with Rule 26.1 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure and provide disclosures to Respondents in accordance with that Rule.
- 20. Respondents allege such other affirmative defenses in Ariz. R. Civ. P. 8(c) as may be determined to be applicable after disclosures from the Division and discovery.

  DATED this 27th day of August, 2012.

COPPERSMITH SCHERMER & BROCKELMAN PLC

Keith Beauchamp

Attorneys for Crystal Pistol Resources, LLC, Crystal Pistol Management, LLC, Liberty Bell Resources I, LLC and John McNeil

1	ORIGINAL and 13 copies filed August 27, 2012 with:	
2	Arizona Corporation Commission	
3	Docket Control	
4	1200 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007	
5	COPIES of the foregoing served via	
6	United States Mail on August 27, 2012, to:	
7	Wendy L. Coy, Esq.	
8	Arizona Corporation Commission Securities Division	
9	1300 W. Washington, 3rd Floor	
10	Phoenix, AZ 85007-2929	
11	Alan Baskin, Esq.	
12	Bade & Baskin PLC 80 E. Rio Salado Parkway, Suite 511	
13	Tempe, AZ 85281	
14	Attorneys for Peter Pocklington	
15	Dana 8. Auste	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		